

cost. It is also important to note that the cost will go down and the experience will be accumulated when more and more mosques that follow these strategies are built and the old ones are retrofitted.

4. Concluding Remarks

Designers of mosques should highly consider the "safeguard of human being" aspect of Islamic *Shari'ah*. This paper discussed this aspect through viewing mosques not only as safe buildings but also as safe refuges in cases of disaster.

A mosque building should be designed to minimize the health effect resulting particularly from performing ablution. This effect can be the result of falling down due to slipping but it can also be the result of transferring skin diseases due to the use of public slippers.

Meanwhile, a mosque should be designed as a durable building to support its community in cases of disaster. Such durability extends beyond structural integrity to include providing an acceptable level of thermal comfort and adequate management of water use.

Building upon research work conducted for the typical use of mosques in aspects such as energy use (Al-Homoud et al., 2009, Al-Hemiddi, 2004) thermal comfort (Al-ajmi, 2010), and water consumption (Manan et al., 2006) more discussion among professionals and more academic research need to address designing mosques for safety as introduced in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Al-ajmi, F. (2010). Thermal comfort in air-conditioned mosques in the dry desert climate. *Building and Environment*, 45, 2407-2413.
2. Al-Homoud, M. (2009). Envelope Thermal Design Optimization of Buildings with Intermittent Occupancy. *Journal of Building Physics*, 33(1), 65-82.
3. Al-Homoud, M., Abdou, A., Budaiwi, I. (2009). Assessment of monitored energy use and thermal comfort conditions in mosques in hot-humid climates. *Energy and Buildings*, 41, 607-614.
4. Al-Hemiddi, N. (2004). The Electric Energy Conservation in Mosques, Case Study; Comparison between Riyadh Jum'a Mosques and Al-Rahmanyah Jum'a Mosque in Skaka. *Journal of King Saud University - Architecture and Planning*, 17(1), 177-209. (in Arabic).
5. Bahrapour, T. (2002). Most Deadly of the Natural Disasters: The Heat Wave. *The New York Times*, August 13. Retrieved March 25, 2011, from <http://www.nytimes.com/2002/08/13/health/most-deadly-of-the-natural-disasters-the-heat-wave.html>
6. Budaiwi, I. (2011). Envelope thermal design for energy savings in mosques in hot-humid climate. *Journal of Building Performance Simulation*, 3(1), 49-61.
7. Council of Arab Ministers of Housing and Development. (2002). Unified Arab Codes for the Design and Execution of Buildings - Fire Protection and Fire Warning Code. The Arab League. (in Arabic).
8. International Code Council. (2009). *International Building Code*
9. International Code Council. (2009). *International Fire Code*
10. Manan, Z., Wan Alwi, S., Ujang, Z. (2006). Water pinch analysis for an urban system: a case study on the Sultan Ismail Mosque at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). *Desalination* 194, 52-68.
11. Mokhtar, A. (2006). Design Guidelines for Ablution Spaces in Mosques and Islamic Praying Facilities, United Arab Emirates: American University of Sharjah.
12. Mokhtar, A. (2008). Design Issues for Muslims Praying Spaces in Shopping Malls. *2A - Architecture & Art*, 9, 86-87.
13. Prathapara, S., Ahmeda, M., Al Adawia, S., Al Sidiaria, S. (2006). Design, construction and evaluation of an ablution water treatment unit in Oman: a case study. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 63(3), 283-292.
14. Raboobee, N., Aboobaker, J., & Peer, A. (1998). Tinea pedis et unguium in the Muslim community of Durban, South Africa. *International Journal of Dermatology*, 37(10), 759-765.

Back to Future; Essence of Mosque Design and a New Generic Architectural Typology

MURAT CETIN¹

¹.Assistant Professor at Department of Architecture, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran, KSA

Sent for evaluation on (04 April 2011), and was approved on (15 May 2011)

Abstract

This paper will discuss the subject of contemporary mosque design of an unbuilt or hypothetical project. Having considered the role of mosque in life and in society, uniqueness and significance of its building typology as well as its mysticism, spirituality and symbolic value, mosque architecture deserves a special attention in the study and implementation of architecture. Nevertheless, particularly after having seen its evolution throughout history and a vast inventory of traditional and successful examples, the quality of the current practice does not reflect its status which was well deserved. The state of the current mosque architecture represents some sort of dilemma with the ongoing vicious cycle that mosque architecture keeps repeating itself by references to its climax up until the 16th century. The whole concept of mosque needs to be carried beyond the tight moulds in which it is shaped so as to ease the emergent congestion about the design of mosque. Therefore, both the professionals and scholars should discuss the very idea of the mosque and its associated archetypes in order to develop it into an elevated platform with an enriched content mainly by carrying this archetype with a contemporary language into future and opening it onto new horizons in a new era. Besides, not only modernity itself should also be open to discussion but also the role of building, architecture (*and that of mosque in particular*), in a new era should be rigorously questioned so that contemporary mosque architecture could be unleashed from the tight shackles of stereotypical stylization of ancient formal typologies.

1. Introduction

The paper intends to discuss the very idea of mosque (Fig.1) and its associated archetypes in order to develop it into a contemporary language into future and opening it onto new horizons in a new era through an unbuilt or hypothetical project. Moreover, the roles of building, architecture (*and that of mosque in particular*), in a new era should be rigorously questioned, like modernity itself, so that contemporary mosque architecture could be released from the emergent congestion through stereotypical stylization of its ancient formal typologies.

Along this path, conceptual development of a new generic model, that is independent of place, is

intended. Based on a concept competition, a project developed by the author is introduced.




Fig.1: Idea of Mosque derived from first typologies

discussed and illustrated in this paper. Inspired by these divine, yet paradoxically earthly edifices, the project aimed to re-configure the components of this typology. In other words, our fascination with the unreachably magnificent superstructures of these mosques, on the one hand, and the humble domes of local mosques gradually or unintentionally unified with nature and human touch, on the other, urged the author to explore ways to transplant the local assets onto a contemporary generic typology.

Thus, existing morphological language of mosque architecture and its rich vocabulary were judiciously purified by re-reading basic tectonic and spatial principles under the light of current inputs that are conditioned by a series of factors such as; social, economic, cultural, technological dynamics etc. Consequently, although the genuine essence of the idea of a sacred space of worship of Islam are retained, a new type of both space and physical object, that are not only ecological, humane, friendly, scaled down both to earth and to individual human being, but also allowing them to touch, use and feel the building and its meaning, is proposed via this hypothetical project. Contemporary mosque architecture, which emerged and has been developed under the protective shield of modernism seems to have mainly concentrated on the notion of abstraction. The very idea of abstraction in architecture, in fact, places more emphasis on the distillation of the essences, principles or intangibles than on the formal stylization of morphological characteristics of either the whole or the components of an edifice. Nonetheless, reduction of this complex and sophisticated process into simple visual stylization appears to be quite widespread since the messages conveyed through image-based medium are much easier to comprehend by a majority of readers.

The significance of the concept of double-space in mosque architecture is also argued here. Thus, the notion of double-space emerges as one of the essential principles, if not the typical characteristics of mosque architecture. However, the contemporary mosque architecture not only displays the negligence of the notion of double

space and also tendency towards a unified space through the process of its abstraction from its conceptual sources. Therefore, this paper argues that contemporary mosque design should re-address the issue of modern abstraction and traditional double-space.

Along this purpose, this paper discusses the subject of contemporary mosque design as well as the reinterpretation of the notion of double-space over an unbuilt or hypothetical project. Having considered the role of mosque in life and in society, uniqueness and significance of its building typology as well as its mysticism, spirituality and symbolic value, mosque architecture deserves a special attention in the study and implementation of architecture. Nevertheless, particularly after observing its evolution throughout history and a vast inventory of traditional and successful examples, the quality of the current practice does not reflect its status which was well deserved. The state of the current mosque architecture represents some sort of dilemma with the ongoing vicious cycle that mosque architecture keeps repeating itself by references to its climax up until the 16th century. The whole concept of mosque needs to be carried beyond the tight moulds in which it is shaped so as to ease the emergent congestion about the design of mosque. Therefore, both the professionals and scholars should discuss the very idea of the mosque and its associated archetypes in order to develop it into an elevated platform with an enriched content mainly by carrying this archetype with a contemporary language into future and opening it onto new horizons in a new era. Besides, not only modernity itself should also be open to discussion but also the role of building, architecture (*and that of mosque in particular*), in a new era should be rigorously questioned so that contemporary mosque architecture could be unleashed from the tight shackles of stereotypical stylization of ancient formal typologies as much as from its contemporary reductionism. Along this path, conceptual development of a new generic model, that is independent of place, is intended. Based on a concept competition, a project developed by the author is introduced, discussed and illustrated in this paper.

2. Critical Review of Contemporary Mosque Architecture in the 20th Century

There are significant examples with regard to contemporary interpretation of mosque architecture in the second half of the 20th century. They display a wide spectrum ranging from historicist or vernacularist attitudes to modernist approaches. Published works by Kahera *et al.* (2009), Erkoç & Bugdaci (2009), Frishman & Khan (2002), Holod & Khan (1997), Serageldin (1996) and many others give an account of various cases of contemporary mosques from diverse locations, cultures and periods within the last century. Moreover, current architectural media, particularly popular architectural magazines and internet sources illustrate and advertise the 'state-of-the-art' architectural designs of 'starchitects' or 'archistars' for contemporary mosques in various capitals of the world. These publications cover cases which can be grouped according to the time period in which they were designed and built. The story of contemporary mosque architecture can be grouped into four episodes with regard to the approaches developed in successive phases of the evolution of architectural thinking. The first episode can be identified with the period extending from early 1950s when heroic ideals of modernism were applied onto the subject of mosque design until 1960s when modernism started to be challenged by regionalism. The second one covers the time span starting from the late 1960s to early 1980s when historicist stream of post-modern thinking was adapted to mosque architecture. The third phase covers the period between 1980s and late 1990s until 2000 whereby an aura of pluralism could clearly be observed. The last, and the current, episode has been on stage since 2000 until the present time, dominated by 'fold and blob' architecture as well as 'fluid formal language' developed by the celebrity architects of our era. Holod & Khan (1997; 7) focus on mosque as a building type because, in their view, the treatment of its formal and functional characteristics serve as a barometer of taste, identity and symbolic values of Muslim community. Indeed, despite the differences among the aforementioned cases and few exceptions with higher degree of sophistication, the majority contemporary mosque

designs show a uniform taste, which is of a homogeneous identity that reflects common symbolic values among Muslim communities. During the late 20th century, multi-national economies, multi-cultural societies and concomitant global communications have brought expressions of common denominator in mosque design; a cross-cultural architectural idiom understood by many individuals, societies, institutions alike wherever Muslims constitute a significant presence. The resulting buildings are representations of Muslim communities in transition and raise the issue of cultural heterogeneity, assimilation and hybrid forms and meanings (Frishman & Khan, 2002). Thus, this homogeneous language of architectural design, which is probably an inevitable outcome of global economy and its cultural ramifications, certainly marks a paradigm-shift towards formal, or rather visible aspects of the sacred architecture of Islamic worship in an age of media-domination profoundly neglecting the very essence of the place of worship that is the space itself. This shifts needs to be overrated since it also signifies an imbalance within the dialectic of manifest (*Al-Zahir*) and hidden (*Al-Batin*) which constitutes one of the major sources of Islamic theology (Ardalan, 1983). The growing negligence of, particularly, the idea of double-space gradually accumulates and ultimately dissolves towards a unified space as an architectural target under the disguise of unity of faith. However, the intrinsic duality in faith necessitates the incorporation of double-space as a response to various aspects and dimensions of dichotomies that face the problem of mosque design. Having considered particularly the evolution of pendentive dome from its ideological sources ranging from Sufism to Middle Eastern pragmatism, from Shamanic traditions of Turcoman nomads (*yörük*) to Indian sources, the interpretation of the architectonic component of dome in mosque design requires the discussion of the notion of double-space. Hammond (2005) further emphasizes the symbolic function of the dome as representation of heaven with references to the history European architecture. According to Holod & Khan (1997; 12), newly developed language of 'global mosque' allows little

movement away from the use of 'formulaic' dome and minaret. According to Grabar (2006), the early period in which Islamic art whose directions were shaped, was followed by a period of construction of ideologically charged meanings. These constructions were gradually turned into clichés as a manifestation of the shared culture of objects (Grabar, 2006). What is crucially missing in these clichés and formulas, however, is that the architectural component of 'dome' is deployed in contemporary mosque architecture merely as a formal symbolic feature not as a spatial entity. Hence, dome, which plays a crucial role in anchoring the mainstream perception into the popular nostalgia for an authentic imagery as shared by the popular public taste, is then left devoid of its inseparable counterpart, that is to say space and its intrinsic dualities. Hence, elaboration of a new concept of a contemporary mosque and its architectural language requires more than expecting temporarily fashionable remedies of a few architectural superheroes.

3. Re-Interpretation of the Principles of Mosque Design

Even if a universal language has to be created for mosque architecture in a new age, this endeavor could only be accomplished through critically and judiciously elaborating the intangible commonalities and shared principles that are lying within both the rich diversity of mosque architecture as well as the very first and basic examples or typologies of places of Islamic worship (see Fig. 1). In other words, a new and meaningful language of contemporary mosque design could possibly be achieved by means of exploiting the possibilities of spatial attributes instead of the easily consumable, salient visual or formal features and their stylization that only serves the re-creation of a pseudo-iconography of mosque morphology or random re-shuffling of mosque typology.

From a critical standpoint, contemporary architecture has hitherto delineated a universal image of mosque. However, based on historic models, there are several versions (*Andalusian/Maghribi, Ottoman, Mamluk, Indo-*

Persian) of this new Islamic image created worldwide. Holod & Khan (1997;10) asserts that 'mosque, as a type, ubiquitous and at once very old and very new' is a culture-bound place of worship, representing local and regional architectural traditions as well as the trans-regional expression of contemporaneity which has acquired a Pan-Islamic and worldwide character. Modernity as image and programme had already been embraced in 1920s by republican and statist Turkey and followed by various Middle Eastern countries after WWII. The strictures of modernism and international style, challenged by 1960s, were replaced, in time, by the development of cultural and archaeological regionalism. Along this process, the issues concerning the design of mosques appear to have centered on; type of image, building as the locus of arts and crafts, harmonizing scale with visual and acoustical unity. Nonetheless, neither imagery nor unity is the quality which lies beneath the conceptual essence of the mosque. On the contrary, duality is the main drive shaping the spatial typology of mosque architecture. As a matter of fact, the conceptual essence of a mosque is rooted not in the tangibles given above but in different aspects related to the provision of space for gathering of worshippers, allowing them to be purified through ablution and to be organized along lines facing the direction of Mecca. Thus, obligatory requirements of a mosque seem to be limited to; orienting the *mihrab* in *Qibla wall*, a prayer hall alongside it, a *minbar* for *khutba* on Friday prayers and facilities for ritual ablution (Holod & Khan, 1997; 13). Other elements: dome and minaret seem to be optional particularly considering the developments in the construction techniques and communication technologies. In such a context, they only serve to offer an Islamic image, primarily shaped by travels and publications that help to propagate the orientalist visual culture on clientele. Hence, the use of formulaic couple of 'dome and minaret' stands out as attempts to establish an artificial link with the past in our age. Therefore, the link, represented by the current imagery of the mosque typology, with the past is not a real but a deliberately manufactured myth which allowed a new expansion that can be called as Pan-Islamic or

Post-Islamic architecture (Holod & Khan). This expression draws on various sources such as abstraction of original meanings from particular units and elements, or assigning coined explanations and histories. Nevertheless, such an abstraction inevitably may harbor the potential threat of paving the way towards both a fictive past and a transformation that prevents the analysis of ties with its origins. Consequently, the contemporary viewer seems to have been cut off his links with the conceptual essence of the very idea of mosque by the passage of time and transition from pre to post industrial society (Holod & Khan).

4. A New Generic Typology for Mosque Design

As a result of the critical analysis of both the current practice of contemporary mosque architecture and the reinterpretation of the conceptual essences of the typology of mosque, the qualities of *subtlety* and *modesty* stand out as prime characteristics for the future of mosque design not only because simply tenets of the faith itself envisage such a low profile but also because the archetype itself is already exhausted with over-exaggerated formal experiments. Thus, the design notions of 'absence' and 'minimalistic intervention' rather than a dominating formal existence on the surface of the earth seem to be appropriate as an attitude for developing a contemporary typology of mosque architecture. Along this purpose, a hypothetical project is developed as a new generic typology of mosque architecture. As discussed above, it is intended to address the issue of intrinsic dualities of faith and place of worship by this generic archetype. Basic and obligatory constituents are studied through an analysis of a diversity of precedents. Thus, precedents are re-evaluated under the light shed by the criteria of subtlety, simplicity, absence and integration with nature and humans.

Inspired by these divine, yet paradoxically earthly edifices, the project aimed to re-configure the components of this typology. In other words, our fascination with the unreachable magnificent superstructures of these mosques, on the one hand, and the humble domes of local mosques gradually

or unintentionally unified with nature and human touch, on the other, urged the author to explore the ways to transplant the local assets onto a contemporary generic typology. Thus, existing morphological language of mosque architecture and its rich vocabulary were judiciously purified by re-reading basic tectonic and spatial principles under the light of current inputs that are conditioned by a series of factors such as; social, economic, cultural, technological dynamics etc. With the intentions of dematerialization and neutral expression of the building, light was put into focus as the only medium to be in between man and his God. Therefore, the mosque and its architectural elements are deliberately reduced to two major components; one tilted roof plane (Fig.2) and one vertical (*Qibla*) wall plane. Hence, the day-light is filtered through lattice works in between these planes and their perforations.




Fig. 2: Tilted roof plane and the duality of space




Fig. 3: Qibla Wall

As a means of abstraction of the first place of worship in history, the *Qibla* wall was rendered as the main feature into which *mihrab*, *minbar* and *dikka* are embedded, (Fig.3), referring to its role at the Prophet Mohammad (S.A.V)'s house. Geometrical theme was based on square in plan and triangle in third dimension enabling a dynamic sense of cubical double-spaces is achieved. Hence, the concept of spherical dome, which only refers to a specific time, geography and technology in history, is replaced by a cubical form enhanced by a double-layer shell of lattice work with references to Islamic patterns. Principle of duality of space is accomplished by this new cubical space that is raised along the spiritual vertical axis on top of the main prayer hall. Thus, a totally new comprehension of the roof structure, or rather 'dome', is achieved by converting the roof to a flat yet tilted plane that is not only perforated in the middle to allow the extension of interior space to its double volume via the cubical shell, but also is both suspended on one end to liberate and accentuate the *Qibla* wall as the focus of open sacred and connected to the ground on the other end to allow people utilizing the roof as a garden gradually elevated towards the sky.

The process of design for this new generic architectural typology can be summarized as follows; the process starts with the definition of an area (sufficient for the intended capacity of users) through simple enclosure elements. These elements are simply; *i)* the *Qibla* wall aligned perpendicular to Mecca direction of the selected area, and *ii)* service spaces in form of repetitive units on other both sides of the area. Following the physical definition of the area, its floor surface is lifted to create a second layer of roof above the selected area. Then, the new lifted roof layer, which is tilted down towards the ground, is fenestrated to allow public entry into the area defined underneath. Finally, the central space of the area is pushed upwards for creating a spiritual axis towards the 'heavens of sky' resulting in double-space that also serves as the source of divine-light providing the transcendental connection of worshippers. The sacred space of worship is created simply by the inversion of the current concept of a dominating building mass into a concept of unobtrusive planes detached from each other for the mere purpose of minimizing the intervention into the earth.

Here, moreover, the structural principles of ancient precedents reversed in accordance with current building technologies. In other words, the structure is based on tensile rather than compressive forces on which former typologies were based. Here, the tilted plane is carried by steel cables and posts on both sides, allowing the *Qibla* wall to be detached and to stand independently (Fig. 4). Such a light, minimal and sustainable construction further enhances the intended expression about the building by giving a feeling of weightlessness. Such an approach also complies with the initial ideas of absence and minimal intervention onto the earth.




Fig. 4: Tensile structure of the roof

The sloped plane with its earth covered roof also performs as a natural insulator (Fig.5). Additionally, the perforation, through which the cubical dome projects, allows heated air to be released from the top pulling the cool air underneath. The fenestrations of the lattice work allow the wind to enter the space for continuous natural ventilation. Moreover, the *Qibla* wall may be designed as thermal mass in appropriate climates. It also accommodates solar and photovoltaic panels which enable the new mosque typology to be environmentally friendly and sustainable in terms of minimizing the energy use and consumption. Furthermore, it is intended to address the issues of gendered space through this new typology. Considering the changing circumstances and status of women in Muslim societies, the female sections of these worship spaces had to be tackled differently in a new generic typology heading into the future. However, this issue had to be handled very carefully without violating the basic principle of separation of genders yet also without going into the territories of gender discrimination particularly in the twenty first century. Along this purpose, ladies section (*kadınlar mahfili*) is elevated on a mezzanine level that is reached by ramp-ways from both directions, and is relocated from the back of the mosque, which is usually the case in precedents, to the front part of the prayer hall close to the *Qibla* wall utilizing the height provided by the tilted ceiling plane so as to create the double-space. In that way, the symbolic position of women in society is elevated and upgraded without creating practical problems with regard to the comfortable contemplation during worshipping or without violating the well-established religious practices and conventions of gender separation in a place of worship.

In sum the project simply consists of; firstly, a floor for a prayer hall transparent on all sides allowing a continuity with surrounding, secondly a *Qibla* wall which embodies basic components of the mosque, thirdly a tilted ceiling, which is not only a protective plane over the prayer hall but also a continuation of the surrounding earth surface, finally a double-space extending towards the sky.

Such a generic archetype is intended to refer back to first places of worship that harbors the bare essentials of original mosques that consisted of a shaded area and a wall showing the sacred direction. The final outcome aims to reach an aura of dignity, an expression of silence as well as a revelation of humility. In this context, a roof that is leaning towards its users, allowing them to reach constitutes the basis of the architectonic design (Fig. 6). On the other hand, the cubical central space (which is the essential feature in creation of double-space in this typology) constitutes the heart of the architectural design since it strips the building into its bare essentials; that is to say a filter of light, which provides the communication between worshippers and their divine Creator.




Fig. 5: Roof as an insulator and landscape element




Fig. 6: Roof as a humane landscape element




Fig. 7a: New generic typology of mosque design




Fig. 7b: New generic typology of mosque design




Fig. 7c: New generic typology of mosque design

5. Conclusion

This new generic type (Fig. 7) questions various dichotomies that exclude people from one end of the bipolar scales of all phenomena. In other words, it dissolves the very idea of mosque as an edifice by deconstructing the separations of inside-outside, above-below, sacred-daily, natural-artificial. Consequently, although the genuine essence of the idea of a sacred space of worship of Islam are retained, a new type of both space and physical object, that are not only ecological, humane, friendly, scaled down both to earth and to individual human being, but also allowing them to touch, use, sense and feel the building and its meaning, is proposed via this hypothetical project.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my gratitude to King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, College of Environmental Design and Department of Architecture that provided the opportunity and facilities for me to develop this idea. I also would like to thank my student Yousef Al-Shaik who contributed to the visualization of the proposed design.
(Freehand sketches are produced by the author)

References

1. Ardalan, N., 1983. "On Mosque Architecture", In *Architecture and Community* (ed. R. Holod and D. Rastorfer), Aperture: New York, 55-56.
2. Erkoç, E. & Bugdaci, C., 2009. *The Mosque*, NAI Publishers.
3. Frishman, M., 2002. *The Mosque: History, Architectural Development And Regional Diversity*, Thames & Hudson, NY.
4. Frishman & Khan, H.U., 2002. *The Mosque: History, Architectural Development & Regional Diversity*, Thames & Hudson, London.
5. Grabar, O., 2006. *Islamic Visual Culture, 1100-1800: Constructing the Study of Islamic Art*, Ashgate Publishing; Aldershot.
6. Hammond, V., 2005. *Visions of Heaven: The Dome in European Architecture*, Princeton Architectural Press, Princeton.
7. Holod, R., Khan, H.U., 1997. *The Contemporary Mosque : Architects, Clients, And Designs Since The 1950s*, Rizzoli, NY.
8. Kahera, A., Abdulmalik, L., Anz, C. (2009). *Design Criteria for Mosques and Islamic Centers: Art, Architecture and Worship*, Architectural Press, NY.
9. Moussavi, F., Lopez, D., Ambrose, G., Fortunato, B., Ludwig, R.R., Schrieker, A., 2009. *The Function of Form*, Actar and Harvard Graduate School of Design, Camb. MA.
10. Petersen, A., 1999. *Dictionary of Islamic Architecture*, Routledge, NY.
11. Serageldin, L., 1996. *Architecture of the Contemporary Mosque*, John Wiley & Sons, NY.
12. Steele, J., 1996. *Architecture of the Contemporary Mosque*, Academy Editions, London.
13. Serageldin, L., 1996. *Architecture of the Contemporary Mosque*, John Wiley & Sons; NY.